The Global Fund That We Want

A Position Statement from the “Communities and Civil Society Consultation on the Global Fund Strategy 2017 - 2021 and 5th Replenishment” convened by the Communities Delegation on the Board of the Global Fund and co-organised by the Global Fund Advocates Network Asia-Pacific (GFAN AP).

The Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria (Global Fund) has offered the world an alternative approach to development aid - driven by the needs of countries instead of the agenda of donors; and mobilising unprecedented levels of resources for programmes that reach millions of people living with and affected by the three diseases, including those often marginalised in societies. On top of the millions of lives it has helped save and improve, the Global Fund should take credit for contributing towards a more inclusive and just world. As the Global Fund develops its 2017 - 2021 strategy, we call for a Global Fund that can truly live up to such a legacy.

1. We want a Global Fund that is truly global - one that does not leave key populations and vulnerable communities behind, regardless of the income classification of their country. The Global Fund’s current funding allocation and eligibility criteria based primarily on the GNI per capita and disease burden, disadvantages key populations and vulnerable communities affected by the three diseases in Middle-Income Countries (MICs). MICs are home to the majority of people living with and affected by HIV and TB.

The Asia-Pacific Region

HIV/AIDS
- has the second largest population of people living with HIV with an estimated 4.1 million - 5.5 million people next to Sub-Saharan Africa
- HIV is concentrated in the region in key populations of sex workers, people who use drugs, gay men and other men who have sex with men (MSM), and transgender women, with 95% of new infections within key populations occurring among young people between the ages of 15 to 24

Tuberculosis
- has the largest number of new TB cases, accounting for 10 of the 22 high TB-burden countries globally
- Childhood TB diagnosis and treatment, and the potential for multi drug-resistant TB pose challenges for more effective TB responses in the region
- Data on HIV infection rates among TB patients are unreliable since patients are infrequently tested: a minority of countries in the Asia-Pacific region tested more than 2/3 of TB patients for HIV infection in 2013. The reliability of reported figures is hampered by poor monitoring systems and limited collaboration between HIV and TB control programmes

Malaria
- carries the second largest burden of malaria - behind Africa as well as being second in the number of malaria estimated deaths in adults and children before the age of 5 after Africa
- The emergence of artemisinin resistance within 5 countries of the Greater Mekong subregion - Cambodia, Laos, Myanmar, Thailand and Vietnam - poses a significant public health threat due to the risk of transmission to other countries in the region.

Governments in the Asia-Pacific region, regardless of their income status, have not been demonstrating the political will necessary to support programmes and interventions for key populations, many of who continue to be discriminated against and criminalised.

While exhibiting strong economic growth with a number of countries transitioning into middle-income status, the Asia-Pacific is still characterised by high levels of poverty, and wide in-country gaps between the rich and the poor; furthermore, the three diseases are exacerbated by different drivers of the epidemics across different localities even within a country.

In order to end the three diseases, the Global Fund must ensure responsible, long-term, and sustainable exit strategies for MICs, with a focus on Community Systems Strengthening (CSS); and continuing, if not increasing, investments for key populations in countries that lack the political will to do so. The new strategic framework must incorporate a fully funded transition plan with clear indicators to ensure funding for key populations and vulnerable communities in MICs as a precaution to disorderly withdrawals. Furthermore, funding for civil society engagement and advocacy for resource mobilisation and efficiency of investments must be guaranteed. This will ensure the on-going capacity of local civil society to effectively engage with MIC governments post-transition. In some instances, this may require continued funding towards responses for key populations after health systems related interventions have transitioned to domestic funding. As pointed out by the Global Fund Technical Review Panel (TRP) for windows 3 & 4, the “focus of proposal” policy seems to discourage domestic funding for key populations for the countries close to transitioning from Global Fund funding. 8.

2. We want a Global Fund that places the health and lives of people ahead of profits - one that ensures people’s access to medicines and diagnostics, regardless of their ability to pay.

We call on the Global Fund to support mechanisms to ensure availability, affordability and accessibility of treatment and diagnostics for the three diseases and co-infections in low- and middle-income countries, including by addressing IP-related barriers, implementation of full TRIPS flexibilities, and review and revision of national patent laws and legislation frameworks.

The new strategic framework must eliminate gaps in access to medicines and technology for diagnosis, prevention and treatment for all affected by the diseases. This includes:

- Ensuring access to 2nd and 3rd line ART, medicines for drug resistant TB and malaria, and Opioid Substitution Therapy (OST);
- Making sure that PReP and PEP are available and accessible;
- Eliminating co-infection and co-morbidity between diseases, including HIV, Hepatitis C, TB, and/or malaria;
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• Engaging with efforts to promote access to medicines as part of Universal Health Coverage (UHC); and
• Identifying priorities and addressing barriers to equitable access to medicines and technologies like parental or spousal consent requirements; mobility-related barriers; and lack of affordable, accessible, and quality sexual and reproductive health services.

We call on the Global Fund to support efforts to challenge and oppose the Free Trade Agreements (FTAs), including the secretive Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement (TPPA), that enables big pharmaceutical corporations to control patents, dictate the prices of drugs, and impede generic production and competition, thereby impeding access to life-saving drugs of people living with the three diseases, including with co-infections and co-morbidities?

3. We want a Global Fund that continues to invest towards the advancement of human rights and gender equality for all

We call on the Global Fund to ensure greater support for programmes that promote and advance human rights and gender equality, and for human rights and gender equality to continue to underpin the work of the Global Fund and be explicitly reflected within its strategic objectives. In the region, gender-based discrimination and violence, punitive laws, and discriminatory practices against people living with and affected by the three diseases pose significant barriers to effective responses. Restrictions in people’s ability to exercise their human rights increase their vulnerability to the three diseases. At the same time, being infected and affected by the disease(s) impair the enjoyment of human rights. This link is apparent in the disproportionate incidence and spread of the diseases among certain groups which, depending on the nature of the epidemic and the prevailing social, legal and economic conditions, including women and children, mobile and migrant populations, internally displaced populations, indigenous communities, and particularly those living in poverty.

We call on the Global Fund to ensure that international human rights standards are upheld internally by the organisation, and amongst its grantees throughout the concept note development, grant-making, and implementation processes. In addition, specific interventions targeted at young people, especially those belong to key communities, have to be factored in and implemented with the support and meaningful involvement of communities and civil society.

The Global Fund is in a position to encourage wider understanding of gender interventions to include diversity of gender identity and sexual orientation, which is important in responding to all three diseases, but particularly in addressing the HIV epidemic among transgender people, and men who have sex with men (MSM). While we recognise the efforts by the Global Fund to include key populations and their needs in every step of the grant life cycle, enshrined in the Key Populations Action Plan 2014 - 2017, we acknowledge too that the involvement of key populations during concept note development processes have not necessarily resulted in interventions for key populations being funded by the Global Fund after the final stage of grant-making.
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Gender inequality (as a driver of the three diseases, and cause and consequence of violence) has not been consistently addressed within national policy and programmes. Achieving gender equality requires political will and financial resources. When countries are faced with stagnant or shrinking budgets, convenient and gender-blind approaches are frequently prioritised over empowering women to have control over their lives, money and bodies. In addition, and particularly for HIV, key affected women from communities of migrants, sex workers, drug users and transgender women face even more biases in accessing health care, thus further disempowering them from seeking health care or other support, including accessing programmes that will protect their legal rights and provide care and support related to addressing violence. We call for increased investments in the new Global Fund Strategy in communities of women and girls, particularly in key affected women; stronger links to sexual and reproductive health and rights (SRHR), and ensuring that gender- and age-disaggregated data can be collected through the right indicators to monitor the effectiveness of programme outcomes for women and girls so as to achieve truly gender-transformative health outcomes.

4. **We want a Global Fund that acknowledges and builds upon the strengths and contributions of communities and civil society in combating the three diseases**

The Global Fund has played a catalytic role in reshaping relations between governments, civil society, and communities of people living with and affected by the three diseases, including those from key populations and vulnerable communities. Beyond the impacts on the three diseases, this has broken new grounds in development approaches, and enabled and empowered communities and civil society to critically engage within decision-making processes at various levels. This has enabled the sustainable and cost-effective scale-up of programmes to reach previously unreached populations. Sustaining and expanding investments in CSS through the new strategic framework is where the Global Fund can continue to demonstrate significant impact and results.

We call on the Global Fund to develop a new strategic framework that explicitly supports specific investments for CSS, with clear indicators for ensuring community engagement that lead to the empowerment and inclusion of key populations, beyond the designation of community organisations as only service providers. Where legal and policy barriers prevent the inclusion and/or participation of key populations and vulnerable communities in national processes, the Global Fund and partners on the Board, including donors and technical partners, should continue to support and engage in dialogues with governments to improve the legal and policy environments for key affected communities.

Lastly, we call on the Global Fund to support scale-up of rights-based, community-led and gender-responsive prevention, treatment, care and support interventions on the three diseases that is inclusive of key affected population and vulnerable communities, including women and girls, and young people from key populations. The meaningful participation of TB and malaria communities and civil society need to be strengthened and clearly articulated in the new strategic framework as supported by the Key Populations Action Plan 2014 - 2017.

The Kind of Global Fund that the World Needs

Beyond what we want as communities and civil society in the Asia-Pacific region, the world needs a Global Fund that remains committed to supporting, protecting, promoting, and advancing the principles of human rights; gender equality; Community Systems Strengthening; equitable access to diagnostics, medicines, prevention and treatment technologies and commodities - for all. The world needs a Global Fund that is steadfast in its commitment to the needs of people living with and affected by the three diseases, regardless of their countries’ income classification.

We call on the Board of the Global Fund, including those from donor countries, to deliver the kind of Global Fund that may undoubtedly give the world a chance to finally end AIDS, TB and malaria in this lifetime.

This statement is an outcome of the “Communities and Civil Society Consultation on the Global Fund Strategy 2017 - 2021 and 5th Replenishment” convened by the Communities Delegation on the Board of the Global Fund and co-organised by the Global Fund Advocates Network Asia-Pacific (GFAN), hosted by the Asia Pacific Network of People Living with HIV/AIDS (APN+) with the support of the Asia Pacific Council of AIDS Service Organisations (APCASO), held 26 - 27 May 2015 in Bangkok, Thailand. #theglobalfundthatwewant

The role of the Communities living with HIV, Tuberculosis (TB) and affected by malaria Delegation (Communities Delegation) is to advocate, influence and shape the decisions on the Board of the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, TB and Malaria (Global Fund). This is so that communities living with HIV, TB and malaria can gain equitable access to quality services and support needed to prevent, treat and/or live with these infections within a conducive environment that respects human rights. https://www.facebook.com/globalfundcommunitiesdelegation

The Global Fund Advocates Network Asia-Pacific (GFAN AP), an affiliate of the Global Fund Advocates Network (GFAN), supports Asia-Pacific community and civil society networks/organisations to engage - domestically and regionally, in the advocacy efforts towards the replenishment of the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, TB and malaria to ensure sustainable financing and responses to the three diseases.

For more information, please contact Ms Rachel Ong, Communications Focal Point, Communities Delegation, and Senior Advisor of GFAN AP at rachel.ong@globalfundcommunitiesdelegation.org.